



## Interview Summary

Application No. 09/588,364 Applicant(s)

Oshima et al.

Interview Summary	Examiner Paul E. Callahan	Art Unit 2132
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):	<u> </u>
(1) Paul E. Callahan	(3) Shinji Kubota	
(2) Jack J. Jankovitz	(4) Kazunori Fujie	
Date of Interview Aug 29, 2002	-	
Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) ☒ Personal [copy is given to 1) ☐ applicant  Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes	2)区 applicant's representative e)区 No. If yes, brief descripti	
Claim(s) discussed: <u>12, 26, 36, and 37</u> Identification of prior art discussed:  Ikeda 5/050,150, Kato 4/503,531, Wilson 4/961,077		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:  Applicant's representative presented arguments in traverse Action in the case. Particular mention was made of the disinterview was placed upon these features, which the application of the previous rejection.	nature of what was agreed to if e of the rejections of the claims a stinguishing features in the amend cant's representative pointed out	an agreement was reached, or as found in the previous Office ded claims. Emphasis in the
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no available, a summary thereof must be attached.)  i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separation of the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORM INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MP already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROSUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record	copy of the amendments that wo rate record of the substance of t AL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LA EP section 713.04). If a reply to DM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO F	buld render the claims allowable is  the interview (if box is checked).  ST OFFICE ACTION MUST  the last Office action has  FILE A STATEMENT OF THE

Examiner's signature, if required